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Market research methodology

- 22 interviews with U.S. organizations from August to September 2004.
- Market Segments covered: Life Sciences (Health Care, Pharmaceutical, Manufacturing (Aerospace, Automotive, Chemical, High Tech), Energy, Financial Services (Banking, Insurance), State Government
- Recruited individuals in each of the segments for a discussion of their use of metadata and their pain in finding and using information across repositories
- Discussed their business objectives, approach, technologies, challenges in their implementations, ideal solution
Types of metadata usage

- Metadata usage is not yet widely adopted but is emerging
  - The use and understanding of metadata varied widely across the enterprises interviewed

- Three types of usage were identified:
  - General employee information finding across repositories
    - Dependent on metadata about people and organizations
  - Linking people to distributed business processes
    - Provide the information to complete the process
    - Notion of document type not easily applied
  - Content management/publishing to intranet or portal

- Better information access, not compliance is the driver
Approaches to creating and maintaining metadata

- Top-down: 5 respondents
- Bottom-up: 5 respondents
- Portal-driven: 3 respondents
- None
  - Tried and failed: 3 respondents
  - Haven’t tried yet
Top down approach

- CEO says “We must be an information driven company” which drives an effort
- “We’ll develop a corporate controlled vocabulary that all divisions will use”
  - Most mentioned use of Dublin Core
  - Most creating their own controlled vocabularies for subject tagging
- The effort is multi-year, ROI hard to track, and may not be implemented or adopted widely
Bottom up approach

• Groups determine their vocabulary describing their process
  • Often in a collaboration environment
• Light tagging of content when it is created or when the content is published to a portal
  • Again, most mentioned use of Dublin Core and creating their own controlled vocabularies
Portal-driven approach

• “Using a portal we have an integrated information source for our internal process users or external customers”
• “Now we have to architect the information related to business processes differently to search across multiple repositories”
• But they lack tools and applications that support this
Tried and failed

- Started from content popping up everywhere and think that it is too difficult to create metadata from existing content
- “We can’t ever hope to organize this morass of content, so let’s put in a search appliance like Google”
- “Our internal needs are like the public internet and users are familiar with Google searches”
- But still feel that metadata would improve matters, particularly within business units
Use of metadata management tools

- Perceived lack of tools to apply metadata to content
- The process and tools are very disconnected.
  - Point solutions not well integrated
- End to end solution not really available, much custom development done
  - Not easy to tag or incorporate content into the desired structures
  - No easy way for groups creating the vocabularies to deliver them to production environments
Desired solution

- Capture existing metadata cheaply and make it easy to enhance its value, but get started with what’s easily available
- Plug “governance” gap between portals, search engines and repositories
- Provide metadata-enhanced information access components that can be simply incorporated into web applications and portals
- Incorporate usage feedback loop to further enhance value of metadata
Efforts at Siderean

- Developing an end-to-end platform for faceted navigation of corporate information
- Finding that faceted navigation has an enabling role to play in metadata creation and maintenance as well as delivery
Faceted navigation and metadata management

- Easy query construction in faceted UIs unify and simplify many maintenance tasks when coupled with basic operations
  - Tagging rule specification
  - Train/test set construction
  - Cleansing
- Faceted approach eases taxonomy development
  - Shifts focus from monolithic taxonomies to smaller vocabularies, schemas and application profiles
RDF and metadata management

• RDF unifies the entities used in creation and maintenance so that a standard set of tools can be applied across them
  • Ontologies
  • Controlled vocabularies
  • Documents

• RDF advances the notions of reusability and open standards to the benefit of maintainability
A virtuous metadata enhancement cycle
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